OCTOBER 17, 1905

## PROCEEDINGS

#### OF THE

# BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

### GENERAL NOTES.

### NOTE ON THE GENERIC NAMES PTERONOTUS AND DER-MONOTUS.

In these proceedings\* Dr. Theo. Gill has recently asserted that the use of the generic name Pteronotus as applied by Gray in 1838 to a genus of Phyllostomid bats is invalidated by the previous existence of a Pteronotus Rafinesque, proposed in 1815 as a substitute for Pteropus.<sup>+</sup> He therefore replaces the Pteronotus of Gray by the new name Dermonotus. After examining Rafinesque's work, I find myself forced to a different conclusion. Rafinesque enumerated fourteen genera of bats: 1, Rhinolophus Cuv.; 2, Phyllostoma Geof.; 3, Vampyrum R. do. Geof. sans queue; 4, Megaderma Geof.; 5, Pteropus Bris. Erxl.; 6, Eidolon R. do. à queue ; 7, Pteronotus, R. do. sp.; 8, Cephalotes Geof.; 9, Tadaris R.; 10, Vespertilio L. Geof.; 11, Nycterus Geof.; 12, Noctilio Geof.; 13, Molossus Geof.; 14, Atalapha R. It is evident that he intended Pteronotus not as a substitute for Pteropus, but as the name of a new genus based on certain species of "Eidolon" or Pteropus, the list of abbreviations at the end of the volume (page 216) explaining that " sp. do." means "species of the preceding genus." As no characters are suggested for this genus, the name Pteronotus of Rafinesque is a nomen nudum without status in nomenclature. Gray was therefore free to apply the term to the Phyllostomid genus; and the new name Dermonotus is not required. -Gerrit S. Miller. Jr.

#### SYMBOS, A SUBSTITUTE FOR SCAPHOCEROS.

Prof. T. D. A. Cockerell, of the University of Colorado, has kindly called my attention to the fact that the generic name, *Scaphoceros*, which I proposed recently (Smiths. Misc. Coll., Quart. Issue, XLVIII, pp. 173–158,

<sup>\*</sup> Vol. XIV, p. 177, September 25, 1901.

<sup>†</sup> Analyse de la Nature, p. 54.

<sup>44-</sup>PROC. BIOL. SOC. WASH., VOL. XVIII, 1905.